1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General BENJAMIN R. JOHNSON, Bar No. 10632 Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1254 E-mail: BJohnson@ag.nv.gov  Attorneys for Defendants James Cox, Anthony Carrasco, Brandon Gonzales, Terry Lindberg, Marc Mallinger, James Stogner,	
8	William Sandie, and Daniel Wheeler	
9	LIMITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	,	
12	ANTHONY PRENTICE,	Case No. 3:16-cv-00060-MMD-WGC
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING
14	V.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO SERVE
15	CHAPLAIN STOGNER, et al.,	DISCOVERY RESPONSES
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants James Cox, Anthony Carrasco, Brandon Gonzales, Terry Lindberg, Marc Mallinger,	
18	James Stogner, William Sandie, and Daniel Wheeler, by and through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt,	
19	Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Benjamin R. Johnson, Deputy Attorney General, hereby	
20	move this Court for an enlargement of time to serve their responses and/or objections to Plaintiff's	
21	discovery requests. This Motion is based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities and	
22	all papers and pleadings on file herein.	
23	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
24	I. INTRODUCTION AND RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY	
25	On January 16, 2018, Plaintiff served interrogatories on all named defendants. Due to preparation	
26	for a trial that was held on January 23, 2018, in Case No. 3:13-cv-00433-MMD-WGC, counsel and the	
27	paralegal assisting with discovery have been unable to confer with the defendants and complete the	
28	responses. Defendants request an additional twenty-one days to serve interrogatory responses.	

FED. R. CIV. P. 6(b)(1) governs enlargements of time and provides as follows:

When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect.

The proper procedure, when additional time for any purpose is needed, is to present a request for extension of time before the time fixed has expired. *Canup v. Mississippi Val. Barge Line Co.*, 31 F.R.D. 282 (W.D.Pa. 1962). Extensions of time may always be asked for, and usually are granted on a showing of good cause if timely made under subdivision (b)(1) of the Rule. *Creedon v. Taubman*, 8 F.R.D. 268 (N.D. Ohio 1947).

Defendants seek an enlargement of time to file serve responses to discovery. Good cause exists to extend the time to file this motion. Counsel and the paralegal assigned to the Attorney General's Office were in a week long jury trial that began on January 23, 2017, in Case No. 3:13-cv-00433-MMD-WGC. Counsel has been working to complete discovery in other cases in addition to all of the discovery for this case. Defendants request an additional extension of twenty-one days up to and including March 8, 2018, to complete the interrogatory responses.

| | ///

17 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18 | //

19 | ///

20 | //

21 | ///

22 || /

23 | ///

24 | //

25 || /

26 | /

| | | |

27 || //

28 | ///

## **CONCLUSION** I. Based on the foregoing, Defendants respectfully request their motion for enlargement of time is granted and the deadline for serving discovery responses be extended to March 8, 2018. DATED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2018. ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General By: BENJAMIN R. JOHNSO Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division Attorneys for Defendants APPROVED AND SO ORDERED: Willen G. Cobb U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE February 16, 2018 **DATED:**